IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 102 OF 2004

SHRI RAMESH ....Appellant
R.SIMEPURUSHKAR,CALANGUTE-GOA.

Versus

ROHIDAS R.SIMEPURUSHKAR AND 3 ....Respondents
ORS.,

Mr. Nitin Sardessai, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. S. D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Ms. S. Rao, Advocate for respondents No. 2,3 and 4.

Coram:- SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

Date:- 15th June, 2006

P.C.

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties. In my opinion, the concurrent findings of both the Courts below cannot be faulted. Both the Courts have held that the plaintiff has not proved that he is in possession and enjoyment of the suit property. No substantial question of law is involved. The second appeal is rejected.

SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

ssm.